# **IVF Clinic Analysis Report**

## **Harris County Area**

Generated by Baby Steps IVF Date Created: May 14, 2025

## **Table of Contents**

- 1. Executive Summary
- 2. Top 6 Clinics
- 3. Detailed View of Top 6 Clinics
- 4. Timeline of Historical Performance & Future Projections
- 5. Key Terms
- 6. References
- 7. Disclaimer

Your Fertility Profile						
Age:	35-37					
Diagnosis:	Unknown Factor					
Treatment:	Conventional Stimulation					
Zipcode:	77074 (within 75 miles)					
Filters:	None					

## 1. Executive Summary

This analysis evaluates IVF clinic performance within 75 miles of ZIP 77074, drawing on 19 years of data from 451 clinics nationwide. Among 9 clinics in your search area, 6 had sufficient performance data for detailed analysis, while 3 were excluded due to insufficient data or not meeting the filter criteria. All success rates are specific to your fertility profile.

### **Your Best Local Clinic is Top Tier Nationally**

#### 339 out of 451 Clinics Analyzed Nationally

With your fertility profile, the average clinic nationally would achieve a **Predicted Clinic Success Rate** of approximately 35.9%. However, the top-performing clinic in your area, <u>CCRM Fertility of Houston</u> (~7 miles away), achieves a **Predicted Clinic Success Rate** of 44.4%—a substantial 8.5% improvement or 1.24 times better than the average clinic. Ranked 21 out of 339 and in the top 93th percentile of clinics nationwide, <u>CCRM Fertility of Houston</u> delivers exceptional performance, positioning it among the very best clinics nationally.

### **Your Local Clinic Variation is High**

#### 6 out of 9 Local Clinics Analyzed

**Predicted Clinic Success Rates** vary widely within your search area, ranging from <u>CCRM Fertility of Houston</u>'s 44.4% success rate to the lowest-performing clinic's 33.2%. This significant 11.2 percentage point spread means that selecting the best local clinic could make you 1.34x more likely to succeed.

#### **Key Metrics Explained:**

• **Success Rate**: The percentage of IVF cycles achieving a live birth on their first embryo transfer. Check section 5 for details.

• **Predicted Clinic Success Rate**: The expected percentage of patients with your fertility profile who would achieve a live birth on their first embryo transfer at a given clinic.

### **Excluded Clinics**

The following clinics are in your search area but were not included in the analysis due to insufficient data or not meeting the filter criteria:

- Houston Fertility Center
- Cooper Institute for Advanced Reproductive Medicine
- Inovi Fertility & Genetics Institute

# 2. Top 6 Clinics

The following clinics are forecasted to have the greatest positive impact on success rates for your specific profile.

	Clinic Name	Predicted Clinic Success Rate	Contact	Location	Fertility Services	Certifications
1	<u>CCRM Fertility of</u> <u>Houston</u>	44.4%	(713) 465- 1211 or <u>email</u>	929 Gessner Rd Suite 2300, Houston, TX 77024, USA (~7 miles away)	Donor Egg; HIV Patients; Gestational Carrier; Female Couple; Egg Cryo; Mental Health; Male Couple; Donor Embryo; Single Women; Single Men; PGT; Embryo Cryo; LGBTQIA; Age Limits; Acupuncture	SART Member; CAP Accredited; Verified Lab Accreditation
2	<u>Aspire Houston Fertility</u> <u>Institute</u>	42.9%	(713) 730- 2229 or <u>email</u>	2500 Fondren Rd Suite 300, Houston, TX 77063, USA (~4 miles away)	Donor Egg; HIV Patients; Gestational Carrier; Female Couple; Egg Cryo; Male Couple; Donor Embryo; Outside Monitoring; Single Women; Single Men; PGT; Embryo Cryo; LGBTQIA; BMI Limits; Age Limits	SART Member; CAP Accredited; Verified Lab Accreditation
3	<u>Advanced Fertility</u> <u>Center of Texas</u>	38.8%	(713) 467- 4488 or <u>email</u>	10901 Katy Fwy, Houston, TX 77079, USA (~8 miles away)	Donor Egg; Gestational Carrier; Female Couple; Egg Cryo; Mental Health; Male Couple; Donor Embryo; Outside Monitoring; Single Women; Single Men; PGT; Embryo Cryo; Wellness; LGBTQIA; Dietitian; Acupuncture	SART Member; CAP Accredited; Verified Lab Accreditation
4	<u>Shady Grove Fertility</u> ( <u>SGF) in Texas</u>	34.6%	(281) 332- 0073 or <u>email</u>	1015 W. Medical Center Blvd STE 2100, Webster, TX 77598, USA (~24 miles away)	Donor Egg; Single Women; Gestational Carrier; Female Couple; Egg Cryo; Single Men; PGT; Male Couple; Embryo Cryo	SART Member; CAP Accredited; Verified Lab Accreditation
5	HART Fertility Clinic (Houston Assisted Reproductive Technologies)	33.9%	(281) 346- 9023 or <u>email</u>	111 Vision Park Blvd Suite 110, The Woodlands, TX 77384, USA (~35 miles away)	Donor Egg; Gestational Carrier; Female Couple; Egg Cryo; Male Couple; Donor Embryo; Outside Monitoring; Single Women; Single Men; PGT; Embryo Cryo	SART Member; CAP Accredited; Verified Lab Accreditation
6	<u>Family Fertility Center</u> <u>in Houston</u>	33.2%	(832) 826- 7272 or <u>email</u>	6651 S Main St Suite F320, Houston, TX 77030, USA (~6 miles away)	Donor Egg; Gestational Carrier; Female Couple; Egg Cryo; Male Couple; Donor Embryo; Outside Monitoring; Single Women; Single Men; PGT; Embryo Cryo; LGBTQIA; Onsite Genetic Counseling	SART Member; CAP Accredited; Verified Lab Accreditation

#### **Services and Certifications Explained:**

- Embryo/Egg/Ovarian Tissue Cryo: Freezing Embryo/Egg/Ovarian Tissue for future use.
- Donor Embryo/Egg: Using donated embryos or eggs in fertility treatment.
- **Gestational Carrier**: Surrogacy services for carrying pregnancy.
- Single Men/Women: Support for individuals pursuing parenthood.
- Male/Female Couple: Support for same-sex couples pursuing parenthood.
- HIV Patient Services: Specialized care for HIV-positive individuals seeking fertility treatment.
- Mental Health: Counseling and psychological support during fertility journey.
- Outside Monitoring: Coordination with external healthcare providers for treatment oversight.
- **<u>PGT</u>**: Genetic screening of embryos before implantation.
- Age/BMI Limits: Treatment eligibility criteria based on age or body mass index.
- Acupuncture: Alternative therapy to support IVF outcomes and stress management.
- Wellness: Includes supplemental services like massage, fitness programs, and lifestyle guidance.
- **LGBTQIA**: Inclusive fertility care for LGBTQIA+ community.
- Genetic Counseling: Guidance on genetic risks and testing options.
- Dietitian Services: Nutritional planning and support during treatment.
- SART Member: Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology membership and adherence to their standards.
- <u>CAP Accredited</u>: College of American Pathologists certification for laboratory quality.
- Joint Commission: National healthcare quality and safety standards certification.

## 3. Detailed View of Top 6 Clinics

Below is a detailed performance table and a histogram that aim to give you a better idea of how the top clinics in your search compare to their peers.

	Clinic Name	Predicted Clinic Success Rate	Clinic Impact on Success	Relative Clinic Impact on Success	Clinic Rank (out of 339)
1	CCRM Fertility of Houston	44.4%	+8.4%	1.23x	21
2	Aspire Houston Fertility Institute	42.9%	+7.0%	1.19x	33
3	Advanced Fertility Center of Texas	38.8%	+2.9%	1.08x	101
4	Shady Grove Fertility (SGF) in Texas	34.6%	-1.3%	0.96x	205
5	HART Fertility Clinic (Houston Assisted Reproductive Technologies)	33.9%	-2.0%	0.94x	221
6	Family Fertility Center in Houston	33.2%	-2.7%	0.92x	239

#### **Key Metrics Explained:**

- Clinic Impact on Success: This clinic's Predicted Success Rate minus the national average's.
- Relative Clinic Impact on Success: This clinic's Predicted Success Rate divided by the national average's.



#### **Histogram Explained:**

- Overview: This histogram shows how clinics are distributed across different Predicted Clinic Success Rates.
- Blue Shaded Area: The height of the blue area indicates the concentration of clinics around a given success rate. Taller regions show where more clinics cluster, while shorter regions indicate fewer clinics.
- **Vertical Lines**: The colored vertical lines mark where your top clinics fall in this distribution. Each line corresponds to a clinic in the table above.

• **Position**: Clinics positioned toward the right have higher success rates than the national average, while those toward the left have lower rates. The middle represents the average success rate nationwide.

## 4. Timeline of Historical Performance & Future Projections

The timeline below tracks each clinic's difference from the national average success rate from 2004-2025 (their **Clinic Impact on Success**), showing both historical performance and our forecasted projections to help you evaluate each clinic's long-term trends:



#### Time Line Plot Explained:

- Historical Data (2004-2022): Solid lines represent historical values for a clinic's impact on success.
- Future Projections (2023-2025): Dashed lines are our projections for a clinic's impact on success.
- Average Clinic Reference: The blue dotted line at 0% represents the average clinic nationwide.
- Data Gaps: Missing data points reflect years when clinics didn't report results (~2% of missing data per year).
- Methodology Change: Starting in 2014, additional treatment and patient factors were controlled for in the analysis.

#### **Key Insights:**

- <u>CCRM Fertility of Houston</u> consistently demonstrates the highest projected performance for 2025 with an 8.4% impact on success rate, and has maintained its position as the leading clinic in your search area for most of the past 19 years with very stable performance despite a slight downward trend since 2019.
- <u>Aspire Houston Fertility Institute</u> shows strong performance with a 7.0% projected impact on success rate for 2025 and very stable historical performance, making it a close second to CCRM while <u>Advanced Fertility Center of Texas</u> ranks third with a 2.9% projected impact and somewhat stable performance despite showing positive trends in recent years.
- <u>HART Fertility Clinic (Houston Assisted Reproductive Technologies)</u> exhibits high volatility in its performance data with dramatic year-to-year fluctuations, including a substantial drop from 5.1% in 2021 to -10.4% in 2022, making future projections less reliable despite the forecasted improvement to -2.0% by 2025.

#### Summary:

Based on the analysis, patients should consider either <u>CCRM Fertility of Houston</u> or <u>Aspire Houston Fertility Institute</u> as their top choices. CCRM offers the highest projected performance (8.4% above average) with very stable historical results, while Aspire follows closely (7.0% above average) with similarly stable performance. Both clinics have consistently outperformed the national average for many years. <u>Advanced Fertility Center of Texas</u> represents a solid third option with positive recent trends, though its impact is lower than the top two clinics. The remaining clinics either show below-average projected performance or concerning volatility, making them less reliable choices.

# 5. Key Terms

In this analysis **Success Rate** refers to the percentage of IVF cycles with patients using their own eggs or embryos achieving a '*live birth on the first embryo transfer attempt*'. This means the clinic successfully helped a patient:

- 1. Stimulate production of eggs
- 2. Retrieve eggs
- 3. Create viable embryos
- 4. Transfer an embryo (or embryos)
- 5. Achieve a live birth on the first embryo transfer

We focus on first transfer success rates as this measures a clinic's ability to help patients achieve their goal as efficiently as possible, minimizing the emotional, physical, and financial burden of multiple attempts.

### **Other Important Terms**

**Predicted Clinic Success Rate:** The estimated percentage of patients with your specific fertility profile who would achieve a live birth on their first embryo transfer at a given clinic. This is the most direct way to compare your chances of success at each clinic.

- When to use: Best for understanding your actual chances of having a baby at each clinic.

**Clinic Impact on Success:** The difference between a clinic's success rate and the national average (e.g., +8.5% means the clinic performs 8.5 percentage points better than average). This helps you understand how much better or worse a clinic performs compared to the average, which is especially useful for comparing clinics directly.

- When to use: Most helpful for comparing how much a clinic improves your chances compared to typical clinics.

**Relative Clinic Impact on Success:** A multiplier showing how many times better a clinic performs compared to the national average (e.g., 1.24x means patients are 24% more likely to succeed at this clinic than the average). This metric becomes particularly important when baseline success rates are low, as it helps you understand how many fewer attempts you might need at a better-performing clinic.

- When to use: Best for estimating how many fewer transfer attempts you might need at one clinic versus another. (Note: This will be replaced by a more direct "estimated transfers needed" metric in the future.)

#### **Additional Resources:**

Looking for more clarity on terms like **live birth** or **embryo transfer**? You can find definitions of common fertility terminology in the <u>CDC's comprehensive glossary</u>.

# 6. References

- 1. <u>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2024)</u>. How to Interpret ART Success Rates. U.S. Department of <u>Health and Human Services</u>.
- 2. <u>Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART). (2024).</u> SART National Summary Report. American Society for <u>Reproductive Medicine.</u>
- 3. <u>Shingshetty, L., Cameron, N. J., McLernon, D. J., & Bhattacharya, S. (2024). Predictors of success after in vitro</u> <u>fertilization. Fertility and Sterility, 121(5), 742-751.</u>
- 4. Wang, C. W., Kuo, C. Y., Chen, C. H., Hsieh, Y. H., & Su, E. C. Y. (2022). Predicting clinical pregnancy using clinical features and machine learning algorithms in in vitro fertilization. *PLOS ONE*, *17*(6), e0267554.
- 5. <u>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2021). 2019 Assisted Reproductive Technology Fertility Clinic and National Summary Report. US Department of Health and Human Services.</u>
- 6. <u>Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2018). 2016 Assisted Reproductive Technology National Summary</u> <u>Report. U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.</u>
- 7. Molinaro, T. A., Shaunik, A., Lin, K., Sammel, M. D., & Barnhart, K. T. (2009). A Strict Infertility Diagnosis Has Poor Agreement with the Clinical Diagnosis Entered Into SART. *Fertility and Sterility*, 92(6), 2088-2090.
- ESHRE Add-ons Working Group, Lundin, K., Bentzen, J. G., Bozdag, G., Ebner, T., Harper, J., Le Clef, N., Moffett, A., Norcross, S., Polyzos, N. P., Rautakallio-Hokkanen, S., Sfontouris, I., Sermon, K., Vermeulen, N., & Pinborg, A. (2023). Good practice recommendations on add-ons in reproductive medicine. *Human Reproduction*, 38(11), 2062-2104.
- 9. Swanson, T. J., Yao, M. W. M., Retzloff, M., Walmer, D. K., Nguyen, E. T., & Chen, X. (2023). Inter-center variation of patients' clinical profiles is associated with IVF live birth outcomes. Fertility and Sterility, 120(4), e175.
- Dhillon, R. K., McLernon, D. J., Smith, P. P., Fishel, S., Dowell, K., Deeks, J. J., Bhattacharya, S., & Coomarasamy, A. (2016). Predicting the chance of live birth for women undergoing IVF: a novel pretreatment counselling tool. Human Reproduction, 31(1), 84-92.
- 11. <u>Angelini, A., Brusco, G. F., Barnocchi, N., El-Danasouri, I., Pacchiarotti, A., & Selman, H. A. (2006). Impact of physician performing embryo transfer on pregnancy rates in an assisted reproductive program. Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics, 23(9), 329-332.</u>
- 12. <u>Uyar, A., Bener, A., Ciray, H. N., & Bahceci, M. (2011). Physician experience in performing embryo transfers may affect outcome. Fertility and Sterility, 95(5), 1867-1869.</u>
- Mittal, M., Supramaniam, P. R., Lim, L. N., Hamoda, H., Savvas, M., & Narvekar, N. (2019). Is the clinician an independent variable in embryo transfer outcomes under standardized direct and indirect supervision? A 5-year observational cohort study. GMS Journal of Medical Education, 36(1), Doc7.
- 14. <u>Elizur, S. E., Lerner-Geva, L., Levron, J., Shulman, A., Bider, D., & Dor, J. (2005). Factors predicting IVF treatment</u> outcome: a multivariate analysis of 5310 cycles. <u>Reproductive BioMedicine Online, 10(5), 645-649.</u>
- 15. Nguyen, E. T., Retzloff, M. G., Gago, L. A., Nichols, J. E., Payne, J. F., Ripps, B. A., Opsahl, M., Groll, J., Beesley, R., Neal, G., Adams, J., Nowak, L., Swanson, T., Chen, X., & Yao, M. W. M. (2024). Predicting IVF live birth probabilities using machine learning, center-specific models: validation results and potential benefits over national registrybased models. medRxiv.
- 16. University of Aberdeen & Society for Assisted Reproductive Technology (SART). (n.d.). SART IVF Predictor Tool.
- 17. <u>Curchoe, C. L., Tarafdar, O., Aquilina, M. C., & Seifer, D. B. (2022). SART CORS IVF registry: looking to the past to shape future perspectives. Journal of Assisted Reproduction and Genetics, 39(10), 2607–2616.</u>

# 7. Disclaimer

This analysis is for informational purposes only. Success rates and projections are estimates and should not be the sole factor in choosing a fertility clinic. We recommend consulting with multiple clinics, healthcare providers, and other sources before making a decision.

Report generated by Baby Steps IVF

© 2025 Baby Steps IVF. All rights reserved.